

# Conformal field theory on the horizon of a BTZ black hole<sup>\*</sup>

Jing-Bo Wang(王晶波)<sup>1)</sup> Chao-Guang Huang(黄超光)<sup>2)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Institute for Gravitation and Astrophysics, College of Physics and Electronic Engineering,  
Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, China

<sup>2)</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

**Abstract:** In a three-dimensional spacetime with negative cosmological constant, general relativity can be written as two copies of  $SO(2,1)$  Chern-Simons theory. On a manifold with a boundary, the Chern-Simons theory induces a conformal field theory—Wess-Zumino-Witten theory on the boundary. In this paper, it is shown that with suitable boundary conditions for a Banados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black hole, the Wess-Zumino-Witten theory can reduce to a chiral massless scalar field on the horizon.

**Keywords:** BTZ black hole, Chern-Simons theory, WZW theory

**PACS:** 04.70.Dy, 04.60.Pp **DOI:** 10.1088/1674-1137/42/12/123110

## 1 Introduction

In a three-dimensional spacetime, general relativity becomes much simpler, since it has no local degrees of freedom [1]. Indeed, the theory is equivalent to a Chern-Simons theory with a suitable gauge group [2, 3]. Even so, there exists a black hole solution in the theory [4] when the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  is negative. In such a case, the gauge group is  $SO(2,1) \times SO(2,1)$ . The black hole, named after Banados, Teitelboim and Zanelli (BTZ), can have very large entropy if the radius of the horizon is large. The entropy cannot be explained by counting the local degrees of freedom, because a 3D gravitational theory has no local degrees of freedom.

There are several ways to explain the entropy of a BTZ black hole with the help of conformal theories. For a good review, see Ref. [5]. It is well known that a Chern-Simons (CS) theory on a manifold with a boundary induces a Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) theory on the boundary, which is a conformal field theory. By use of the  $SO(2,1) \times SO(2,1)$  WZW theory, Carlip explained the entropy of the BTZ black hole [6]. However, due to the non-compactness of  $SO(2,1)$ , it is difficult to quantize the  $SO(2,1)$  WZW theory. With a slightly stronger boundary condition, the  $SO(2,1) \times SO(2,1)$  WZW theory can reduce to a Liouville theory on the conformal boundary [7, 8]. The central charge of the Liouville theory with the Cardy formula [9, 10] can be used to explain the entropy of the BTZ black hole [5]. On the other

hand, in their seminal work [11], Brown and Henneaux showed that the asymptotic symmetry group of  $AdS_3$  is generated by two copies of Virasoro algebra, which also correspond to a conformal field theory. Based on this result, the entropy of a BTZ black hole can be calculated [12, 13], and matches the Bekenstein-Hawking formula.

In the above approaches, the conformal theories are defined either at conformal infinity or at the horizon. For the former case, it is difficult to distinguish a black hole from a ‘star’, since they have the same infinity behavior. So, the more interesting approach is that the conformal field theories are located at the horizon of a black hole, and the following discussion is limited to this approach.

From a very different point of view, it has been shown [14–20] that the boundary degrees of freedom on an isolated horizon in any dimensional spacetime (including in a 3-dimensional spacetime) can be described by a boundary BF theory on an isolated horizon, so that the entropy of a black hole can be explained by use of the boundary BF theory. In this paper, with the same boundary conditions as boundary BF theory, we want to see whether an explicit form of conformal field theories (CFTs) on the horizon can be obtained or not. Our strategy is to start from the Chern-Simons theory with suitable boundary conditions set by the boundary BF theory, and then show that the WZW theory reduces to a chiral massless scalar field on the 2-dimensional isolated horizon. Since 3D general relativity contains two copies of Chern-Simons theory, there are, correspondingly, two chiral massless

Received 9 April 2018, Revised 22 August 2018, Published online 25 October 2018

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11690022), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences Multi-waveband Gravitational Wave Universe (XDB23040000) and Nanhu Scholars Program for Young Scholars of XYNU

1) E-mail: shuijing@mail.bnu.edu.cn

2) E-mail: huangcg@ihep.ac.cn

©2018 Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

scalar fields on the BTZ horizon.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the relations between gravity, Chern-Simons theory and WZW theory. In Section 3, the BTZ black hole is considered. With suitable boundary condition, the boundary WZW theory reduces to a chiral massless scalar field theory. Section 4 gives our conclusion.

## 2 Gravity, Chern-Simons theory and WZW theory

As first shown in Ref. [2], (2+1)-dimensional general relativity can be written as a Chern-Simons theory. For the case of negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda = -1/L^2$ , one can define two  $SO(2,1)$  connection 1-forms

$$A^{(\pm)a} = \omega^a \pm \frac{1}{L} e^a, \quad (1)$$

where  $e^a$  and  $\omega^a$  are orthonormal co-triads and spin connection 1-forms, respectively, and  $a=0,1,2$  is the gauge group index. Then, up to a boundary term, the first order action of gravity can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{GR}[e, \omega] &= \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int [e^a \wedge (d\omega_a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega^b \wedge \omega^c) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6L^2} \epsilon_{abc} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c] \\ &= I_{CS}[A^{(+)}] - I_{CS}[A^{(-)}], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $A^{(\pm)} = A^{(\pm)a} T_a$  are  $SO(2,1)$  gauge potentials,  $T_a$  are generators of the  $SO(2,1)$  group, and the Chern-Simons action is

$$I_{CS}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \text{Tr} \{ A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$k = \frac{L}{4G}. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, the CS equation

$$F^{(\pm)} = dA^{(\pm)} + A^{(\pm)} \wedge A^{(\pm)} = 0 \quad (5)$$

is equivalent to the requirement that the connection is torsion-free and the metric has a constant negative Riemann curvature. The equation implies that the potential  $A$  can be locally written as

$$A = g^{-1} dg. \quad (6)$$

When the manifold has a boundary, a boundary term must be added and this term depends on our choice of boundary conditions. Assume that the boundary has topology  $\partial M = R \times S^1$ . The standard boundary condition is chosen to be [5]

$$\delta A_u|_{\partial M} = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \delta A_{\tilde{u}}|_{\partial M} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $u$  and  $\tilde{u}$  are two coordinates on the boundary.

Then the boundary term is

$$I_{bd} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} dud\tilde{u} \text{Tr}(A_u A_{\tilde{u}}). \quad (8)$$

With the boundary term, the total action,  $I_{CS}[A] + I_{bd}[A]$ , is not gauge-invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\bar{A} = g^{-1} A g + g^{-1} dg. \quad (9)$$

To restore the gauge-invariance, the Wess-Zumino-Witten term is introduced for the first boundary condition [21, 22]:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{WZW}[g^{-1}, A_u] &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} dud\tilde{u} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_u g g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{u}} g + 2g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{u}} g A_u) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_M \text{Tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which is a chiral WZW action for a field  $g$  coupled to a background gauge potential  $A_u$ .

With the WZW term, the full action is gauge-invariant,

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{CS} + I_{bd})[\bar{A}] + k I_{WZW}[e^{-1}, \bar{A}] \\ = (I_{CS} + I_{bd})[A] + k I_{WZW}[g^{-1}, A], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $e$  is the unit element of the group.

Thus, the gauge transformation  $g$  becomes a dynamical variable at the boundary, and is described by the WZW action, which is a conformal field theory. Those ‘would-be gauge degrees of freedom’ [23] are present because the gauge invariance is broken at the boundary.

## 3 Boundary action on the horizon of a BTZ black hole

In the previous section, the boundary of the manifold could be arbitrary. If the horizon of the BTZ black hole is considered, more reductions can be made due to the special properties of the horizon.

### 3.1 BTZ black hole

To study the physics at a horizon  $\Delta$ , it is more suitable to use advanced Eddington coordinates  $(v, r, \varphi)$ . The metric of a BTZ black hole can be written as

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2(d\varphi + N^\varphi dv)^2. \quad (12)$$

We choose the following null co-triads [24],

$$l = -\frac{1}{2} N^2 dv + dr, \quad n = -dv, \quad m = r N^\varphi dv + r d\varphi, \quad (13)$$

which relate to the orthonormal co-triads by

$$l \equiv e^- = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(e^0 - e^1), \quad n \equiv e^+ = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(e^0 + e^1), \quad e^2 = m. \quad (14)$$

After some calculations, the Chern-Simons connections (1) are:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(\pm)-} &= -(N^\varphi \mp \frac{1}{L})dr - \frac{N^2}{2}d(\varphi \pm \frac{v}{L}), \\ A^{(\pm)+} &= -d(\varphi \pm \frac{v}{L}), \\ A^{(\pm)2} &= r(N^\varphi \pm \frac{1}{L})d(\varphi \pm \frac{v}{L}), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $A^\pm = (A^0 \pm A^1)/\sqrt{2}$ . Those connections also define the boundary BF theory on the isolated horizon.

We define new variables,

$$u = \varphi - \frac{v}{L}, \quad \tilde{u} = \varphi + \frac{v}{L}, \tag{16}$$

which gives

$$A = A_r dr + A_u du + A_{\tilde{u}} d\tilde{u}. \tag{17}$$

A crucial property of the connections (15) is that, on the whole manifold, one has

$$A_u^{(+)\pm} = A_u^{(+2)} \equiv 0, \quad A_{\tilde{u}}^{(-)\pm} = A_{\tilde{u}}^{(-2)} \equiv 0. \tag{18}$$

Another crucial property is that when approaching the horizon  $\Delta$  [24],

$$A_{\tilde{u}}^{(+)-} = A_u^{(-)-} = \frac{N^2}{2} \rightarrow 0. \tag{19}$$

These two properties are important for later calculation.

Since the topology of the space-section is cylindrical, which is non-trivial, the vacuum Chern-Simons equation  $F=0$  will be solved by a non-periodic group element  $Q$  with [8]

$$A = Q^{-1}dQ. \tag{20}$$

A general  $SO(2,1)$  group element  $Q(\tilde{u}, u, r)$ , using the Gauss decomposition, can be written as

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\Psi_1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\Psi_1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}y_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

With those parameters, the WZW action is [7]

$$kI_{WZW} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\Delta} dud\tilde{u} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_u \Psi \partial_{\tilde{u}} \Psi - e^\Psi (\partial_u x \partial_{\tilde{u}} y + \partial_u y \partial_{\tilde{u}} x)). \tag{22}$$

### 3.2 Gauge transformation

Now we consider the gauge transformation (9) with group element  $g_1$  for the  $A^{(+)}$ .

To preserve the boundary condition (18)

$$\delta A_u^{(+)}|_{\partial M} = 0, \tag{23}$$

the gauge transformation should be  $g_1 = g_1(r, \tilde{u})$ . However, this boundary condition is still not enough to determine whether the system we are dealing with is a black hole or not. So, more restricted boundary conditions are needed. A crucial property of horizon  $\Delta$

is non-expansion. In other words, the expansion  $\theta_l$  of the null normal vector  $l$ , which is defined by  $\theta_l \triangleq m^a m^b \nabla_a l_b$ , vanishes on  $\Delta$ . The  $\triangleq$  means that the equality is valid on the horizon  $\Delta$ . This property reflects on the vanishing of the connection  $A^{(+)-}$ , since after some calculation it can be shown that

$$A^{(+)-} = -\theta_l m + (\frac{1}{L} - \tau)l \triangleq 0, \tag{24}$$

where  $\tau = n^a l^b \nabla_a m_b$  is the spin coefficient in Newman-Penrose formalism [25]. We want the gauge transformation to keep this condition.

We assume the gauge transformation is given by the  $SO(2,1)$  group element,

$$\begin{aligned} &g_1(x_1, y_1, \Psi_1) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\Psi_1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\Psi_1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}y_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Under the gauge transformation (9),

$$\bar{A}^{(+)-} = e^{\Psi_1} (A^{(+)-} - A^{(+2)}x_1 + A^{(+)+}x_1^2/2 + dx_1). \tag{26}$$

Since  $A^{(+2)}, A^{(+)+}$  are both finite at the horizon, to keep the boundary condition (24), one needs

$$x_1(r, \tilde{u}) \triangleq 0, \tag{27}$$

and  $\Psi_1(r)$  is finite at the horizon.

The other components transform into

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}^{(+2)} &= A^{(+2)}(1 - e^{\Psi_1}y_1x_1) - A^{(+)+}x_1(1 - e^{\Psi_1}y_1x_1/2) \\ &\quad + A^{(+)-}e^{\Psi_1}y_1 + d\Psi_1 + e^{\Psi_1}y_1dx_1, \\ \bar{A}^{(+)+} &= A^{(+)+}e^{-\Psi_1}(1 - e^{\Psi_1}y_1x_1/2)^2 \\ &\quad + A^{(+2)}y_1(1 - e^{\Psi_1}y_1x_1/2) + A^{(+)-}e^{\Psi_1}y_1^2/2 \\ &\quad + y_1d\Psi_1 + dy_1 + y_1^2e^{\Psi_1}dx_1/2. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Those components are required to be finite at the horizon, so that

$$y_1(r_+) = \text{finite}, \quad \Psi_1(r_+) = \text{finite}, \tag{29}$$

where  $r_+$  is the outer radius of the black hole. Due to Eq. (27), the derivatives of  $x_1$  with respect to  $(u, \tilde{u})$  are 0. So the second term in the action (22) vanishes on the horizon

$$e^{\Psi_1}(\partial_u x_1 \partial_{\tilde{u}} y_1 + \partial_u y_1 \partial_{\tilde{u}} x_1) \triangleq 0. \tag{30}$$

So the final action on the horizon is then

$$\begin{aligned} kI_{WZW} &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\Delta} dud\tilde{u} \frac{1}{2} \partial_u \Psi_1 \partial_{\tilde{u}} \Psi_1 \\ &= \frac{k}{4\pi L} \int_{\Delta} dvd\varphi [L^2(\partial_v \Psi_1)^2 - (\partial_\varphi \Psi_1)^2], \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with  $\Psi_1$  depending only on  $\tilde{u} = \varphi + \frac{v}{L}$ . This is a chiral massless scalar field theory which is very similar to the edge theory for the quantum Hall effect [26]. Even the

on-shell action is zero; it has non-trivial dynamics. After quantizing this theory, the Hilbert space forms the representation of the Abelian Kac-Moody algebra.

Similar results can be obtained for the  $A^{(-)}$ , which gives another chiral massless scalar field  $\Psi_2$  depending only on  $u$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, field theory on the horizon of a BTZ black hole was investigated. Starting from the Chern-Simons theory, one can get a chiral WZW theory on any boundary. Restricting to the horizon, this WZW theory reduces further to a chiral massless scalar field theory. Since general relativity is equivalent to two copies of CS theory, the final theory on the horizon is two chiral massless scalar field theories with opposite chirality. The sum of those two chiral boson actions can be written in Liouville form [27].

Compared with the conformal field theories on the conformal boundary, the massless scalar field theory—which is also a conformal field theory—is more relevant to black hole physics. It is just on the horizon. In this paper, we give an explicit action for the scalar field. The scalar field was also obtained in Refs. [28, 29], from near-horizon symmetry algebra. The Fourier modes of its energy-momentum tensor form a Virasoro algebra with central charge  $c=1$ . From this near horizon Vir-

soro algebra  $\mathcal{L}_n$  one can construct a Virasoro algebra  $L_n$  with  $c=3L/2G$ , which is the algebra on the conformal boundary [30, 31]. The map is given by

$$L_n = \frac{1}{c} \left( \mathcal{L}_{cn} + \left( \frac{c^2}{24} - \frac{1}{24} \right) \delta_{n,0} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, c \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (32)$$

We assume that the central charge  $c$  is integer-valued. Roughly speaking, this can be understood by the fact [32] that the central charge is  $c=6k$  where  $k$  is the level of the Chern-Simons theory (4). To have a well-defined quantized Chern-Simons theory,  $k$  should be an integer, and so is  $c$ .

The difference between those two central charges might be understood as the red-shift effect. In Ref. [31] it was shown that the energy scale at the stretched horizon and the asymptotic one are related by a factor  $c=3L/2G$ . The massless free scalar field (which has  $c=1$ ) has little entropy by the Cardy formula, so only captures part of the degrees of freedom in the bulk. There might be some possible way to solve the “mismatching of entropy” issue.

As a final remark, the conformal symmetry used here is different from that which appears in Carlip’s effective description of the black hole entropy in arbitrary dimensions [33]. As noticed in Ref. [34], the symmetry of this paper is on the “ $\varphi-v$  cylinder”, while the symmetry of Ref. [33] is on the “ $r-v$  plane”.

## References

- 1 S. Carlip, *Quantum Gravity in 2+1 Dimensions*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, (Cambridge University Press, 2003)
- 2 A. Achucarro and P. K. Townsend, *Phys. Lett. B*, **180**: 89 (1986)
- 3 E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **311**: 46 (1988)
- 4 M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **69**: 1849–1851 (1992)
- 5 S. Carlip, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **22**: R85–R124 (2005)
- 6 S. Carlip, *Phys. Rev. D*, **51**: 632–637 (1995)
- 7 O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux, and v. D. Peter, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **12**: 2961–2966 (1995)
- 8 M. Rooman and P. Spindel, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **594**: 329–353 (2001)
- 9 J. L. Cardy, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **270**: 186–204 (1986)
- 10 H. W. J. Blote, J. L. Cardy, and M. P. Nightingale, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **56**: 742–745 (1986)
- 11 J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **104**: 207–226 (1986)
- 12 A. Strominger, *JHEP*, **02**: 009 (1998)
- 13 D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. Sen, *Phys. Lett. B*, **424**: 275–280 (1998)
- 14 J. Wang, Y. Ma, and X.-A. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D*, **89**: 084065 (2014)
- 15 J. Wang, *The Entropy of BTZ Black Hole from Loop Quantum Gravity*, (2014)
- 16 J. Wang and C.-G. Huang, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **32**: 035026 (2015)
- 17 J. Wang, C.-G. Huang, and L. Li, *Chin. Phys. C*, **40**(8): 083102 (2016)
- 18 J. Wang and C.-G. Huang, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **25**(14): 1650100 (2016)
- 19 C.-G. Huang and J. Wang, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **48**(8): 115 (2016)
- 20 C.-G. Huang and J. Wang, *Chin. Sci. Bull. (in Chinese)*, **60**: 3313–3321 (2015)
- 21 W. Ogura, *Phys. Lett. B*, **229**: 61–66 (1989)
- 22 S. Carlip, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **362**: 111–124 (1991)
- 23 S. Carlip, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.*, **57**: 8–12 (1997)
- 24 A. Ashtekar, J. Wisniewski, and O. Dreyer, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **6**: 507–555 (2003)
- 25 E. Newman and R. Penrose, *J. Math. Phys.*, **3**(5): 566–578 (1962)
- 26 X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B*, **41**: 12838–12844 (1990)
- 27 G. Barnich and H. A. Gonzalez, *JHEP*, **05**: 016 (2013)
- 28 H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, *Phys. Rev. D*, **93**(10): 101503 (2016)
- 29 H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Phys. Rev. D*, **96**(8): 084032 (2017)
- 30 M. Banados, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **82**: 2030–2033 (1999)
- 31 H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and H. Yavartanoo, *JHEP*, **08**: 087 (2017)
- 32 E. Witten, *Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited*, (2007)
- 33 S. Carlip, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, **1483**: 54–62 (2012)
- 34 S. Carlip, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **32**(15): 155009 (2015)