Nuclear Structure of Nuclei in Lead Region (II) Non-Unique First-Forbidden β Decays of Nuclei ²⁰⁸Tl, ²⁰⁸Pb, and ^{206–208}Hg

Zhang Changhua and Gu Jinnan

(Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China)

The non-unique first-forbidden β decays of ²⁰⁸Tl, ²⁰⁸Pb, and ²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸Hg are calculated in terms of the shell model with different interactions and model space. The calculated log f_0t value very sensitively depends on the effective interactions used in diagonalizing the energy matrix. The β decay modes for ²⁰⁶Hg and ²⁰⁸Hg are also compared.

Key words: nuclear structure, non-unique first forbidden β decay, shell model.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Ref. [1], we calculated the spectra and wave-functions of ²⁰⁸Tl, etc. The results showed that the spectra obtained with different interactions are very similar, but the wave-functions are different.

The β decay of nucleus can provide more information about the nuclear structure and test more sensitively the interaction used to diagonalize the Hamiltonian. For light and mid-heavy nuclei, the β decay modes, in general, are allowed the Fermi type and Gamow-Teller transition since the protons and neutrons fill the same shell. On the contrary, for heavy nuclei, only forbidden β decay can happen because the proton and neutron fill different shells. For the nuclei near ²⁰⁸Pb, the first-forbidden β decay are the most important one. In this paper, we discuss the properties of the first-forbidden β decays of ²⁰⁸Tl, ²⁰⁸Pb, and ²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸Hg.

Received on July 15, 1996. Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

^{© 1998} by Allerton Press, Inc. Authorization to photocopy individual items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc. for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

Due to the Pauli blocking, the β decays of 208 Tl, 207 Hg, and 208 Hg can convert a neutron in 126–184 shells into a $\pi h_{11/2}$ or decay into the p-h excitation states of their daughter nuclei. It is clear that the ground-state of 208 Tl decays predominantly into the 5^- and 4^- states of 208 Pb via first-forbidden β transition [2]. The ground-state of 207 Hg decays into the $7/2^-$, $9/2^-$, and $11/2^-$ states of 207 Tl, although the assignments of these states are still uncertain [3] experimentally. For the β decay of 208 Hg, it is totally unclear except a measured half-life (42 min) provided by Zhang Li *et al.* [4]. According to the similar shell structure of 208 Hg to 208 Tl and 207 Hg, one can expect that the ground-state of 208 Hg(0+) decays predominantly into the 0-, 1-, and 2- states of 208 Tl via the first-forbidden β transition. It has been seen that this negative state has relatively high excited energies, and the p-h excitation is important for these states. The neglected single-particle orbits are also important for these states. These lead to the difficulties in determining accurately the wave-functions of these states and analyzing the β decays of 207 Hg and 208 Hg. We now calculate $\log f_0 t$ for 208 Tl, 208 Pb, and $^{206-208}$ Hg by using the wave-functions obtained in Ref. [1].

2. MATRIX ELEMENTS AND TRANSITION RATES OF THE FIRST-FORBIDDEN B DECAY

In the impulse approximation, the first-forbidden β operator can be classified into two types [5]: the first type includes four operators r and $[r, \sigma]^R$ (R = 0, 1, 2 is the order) which arise from the expansion of the lepton wave-function and are nonrelativistic; the second type includes operators γ_5 and α which are caused by the mixture of the big and small components in the weak current and are relativistic. These operators [6] are listed in Table 1.

There exists a relation between M^x and M^y due to the current conservation [7],

$$\langle J_{f}T_{f} || \alpha \tau || J_{i}T_{i} \rangle = E_{\gamma} \langle J_{f}T_{f} || irC_{1}\tau || J_{i}T_{i} \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $C_L = \left\lceil \frac{4\pi}{2L+1} \right\rceil^{1/2} Y_L$, $|J_i T_i\rangle |J_f T_f\rangle$ are the initial and final wave-functions, respectively, τ is the

isospin operator and E_{γ} is the energy difference between the final state and the state which is the isobaric analog state to the parent state. For the nuclei with A=205-212, E_{γ} can be well expressed as,

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{1.412}{0.511} \frac{(Z_{\rm i} + Z_{\rm f})}{2A^{1/3}} - 0.811 - 0.786 + Q(\beta^{-}), \tag{2}$$

where $Q(\beta^-)$ is the decay energy. Thus, there are five independent β matrix elements: two rank-zero $(RO:M_0^S,M_0^T)$, one rank-one $(R1:M_1^x,M_1^u)$, and one rank-two $(R2:M_2^2)$.

In the shell model the β matrix elements can be calculated in the following way

$$M_{R}^{a} = \sum_{j_{i},j_{f}} M_{R}^{a}(j_{i}j_{f}) = \sum_{j_{i},j_{f}} D_{R}(j_{i}j_{f}) M_{R}^{a}(j_{i}j_{f}, eff) = \sum_{j_{i},j_{f}} D_{R}(j_{i}j_{f}) M_{R}^{a}(j_{i}j_{f}) q_{a}(j_{i}j_{f}),$$
(3)

In this equation, $D_R(j_ij_t)$ are the one-body transition density which can be calculated in the shell model; $M_R^\alpha(j_ij_t)$ is the single-particle matrix element for the transition $j_i \rightarrow j_t$ in the impulse approximation; and the quenching factor $q_a(j_ij_t)$ makes the finite size model space and nuclear medium effect correction to $M_R^\alpha(j_ij_t)$ with R=0,1,2; a=T,S,x,u,z. The β matrix elements are calculated by using oscillator single-particle wave-functions with $\hbar\omega=41.464A^{-1/3}-25.0A^{-2/3}$. The average values for the quenching factors q_a in the lead region are $q_T-1.15, q_S-0.85, q_u-0.45$, and $q_x-0.60$ [8].

In order to obtain the relation between the transition rate and matrix elements, the lepton wave-functions are expanded into series with respect to αZ , Wr_u , pr_u , and qr_u by using the Behren-Buhring

Symbols	Cartesian coordinate	Spherical coordinate	Order
M_0^T	$-C_{A} \int \gamma_{5}$	$C_{A}(4\pi)^{1/2}\langle\gamma_{50}\rangle$	0
M_0^{S}	$C_{A} \int i\sigma \cdot r$	$-C_{A}(4\pi)^{1/2}\langle \mathrm{ir}\sigma\cdot T_0^1\rangle$	0
M_1^{γ}	$-C_{\nu} \int \alpha$	$C_{\rm v}(4\pi)^{1/2}\langle \alpha\cdot T_1^0\rangle$	1
M_0^x	$-C_{\nu}\int ir$	$-C_{\rm v}(4/3\pi)^{1/2}\langle irY_1\rangle$	1
M_1^u	$-C_{\Lambda}\int \sigma \Lambda r$	$-C_{\rm v}(8/3\pi)^{1/2}\langle ir\sigma \cdot T_1^1\rangle$	1
M_2^2	$C_{A} \int \mathrm{i} B_{ii}$	$-C_{\rm v}(16/3\pi)^{1/2}\langle ir\sigma \cdot T_2^1\rangle$	2

Table 1

The matrix elements and their symbols for the first-forbidden β decays.

method [9]. Here, α is the fine structure constant, W and p are the energy and momentum of the electron, respectively, q denotes the momentum of the neutrinos, and r_u represents the radius of a uniform charge distribution. In this expansion, the first kind of matrix elements are $M_0^{S'}$, $M_1^{x'}$, and $M_1^{u'}$. Let $r_w' = M_0^{S'}/M_0^S$, $r_x' = M_1^{x'}/M_1^x$, and $r_u' = M_1^{u'}/M_1^u$. For the nuclei with A-208, $r_w \approx r_t \approx r_u = 0.70$.

For the non-unique first-forbidden β decay, one can define the average shape factor as:

$$\overline{C(W)} = 9195 \times 10^5 / f_0 t = B_1^{(0)} + B_1^{(1)},$$
 (4)

where $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_1^{(0)}$ are the contributions of the rank-zero (R0) and rank-one (R1) components, respectively, and can be formulated in terms of β matrix elements in the ξ approximation as

$$B_1^{(0)} = [M_1^{(0)}]^2 = [\varepsilon_{\text{mec}} M_0^T + a_s M_0^S]^2,$$

$$B_1^{(1)} = [M_1^{(1)}]^2 = [a_u M_1^u - a_s M_1^x]^2.$$
(5)

Here $\varepsilon_{\rm mec}$ is the meson-exchange-current (mec) enhancement factor and its value can be chosen as 2.01 ± 0.05 in our calculation [7]. a_s , a_u , and a_x are defined as

$$a_{s} = r'_{w}\xi + \frac{1}{3}W_{0},$$

$$a_{u} = r'_{u}\xi - \frac{1}{3}W_{0},$$

$$a_{x} = E_{\gamma} - r'_{x}\xi - \frac{1}{3}W_{0},$$

$$\xi = \frac{\alpha Z}{2r},$$
(6)

where W_0 is the maximum β decay energy.

3. RESULTS

$3.1^{208}\text{Tl}(\beta^{-})^{208}\text{Pb}$

The 208 Tl ground-state decays predominantly into the 5⁻ and 4⁻ states of 208 Pb. The corresponding branch ratios are 48.7% 5_1^- , 24.5% 5_2^- , and 21.8% 4_1^- [3], respectively. These experimental data are listed in Table 2. The 5⁻ and 4⁻ states of 208 Pb are calculated with both PKH and SDI.

Transitions	$E_{x}(MeV)$	$\log f_0 t(\exp)$	$\log f_0 t(\text{th})$
5+→5,	3.198	5.61	6.0
$5^+ \rightarrow 5_1^-$ $5^+ \rightarrow 5_2^-$ $5^+ \rightarrow 4^-$	3.708	5.37	5.37
5+__1	2 475	5 60	5.65

Table 2 The $\log f_0 t$ values of the first forbidden β decay for nuclei ²⁰⁸Tl.

Table 3(a) The experimental $\log f_0 t$ values of the non-unique first-forbidden β decays for 207 Hg.

Transitions	$E_{\rm x}({ m MeV})$	$\log f_0 t(\exp)$	Ιβ(%)
9/2+→11/2-	1.348	5.0	2
9/2+→7, 9/2-	2.911	6.2	14
9/2+→7, 9/2-	2.985	5.8	32
9/2+→7/2-	3.104	5.9	16
$9/2^+ \rightarrow 7, 9, 11/2^-$	3.143	6.3	7
9/2+→7/2	3.272	6.5	3
$9/2^+ \rightarrow 9$, $11/2^-$	3.295	6.2	5
9/2+→11/2-	3.334	6.2	5
9/2+→7, 9, 11/2-	3.339	6.3	4

Table 3(b) The calculated $\log f_0 t$ values of the non-unique first-forbidden β decays for ²⁰⁷Hg.

Transitions	$E_{x}(MeV)$	$\log f_0 t(\text{th})$
9/2 ⁺ →11/2 ⁻ 9/2 ⁺ →11/2 ⁻ 9/2 ⁺ →7/2 ⁻ 9/2 ⁺ →7/2 ⁻ 9/2 ⁺ →9/2 ⁻ 9/2 ⁺ →9/2 ⁻	1.435 3.480 3.493 3.584 3.079 3.355	7.871 5.876 6.868 6.085 6.506 5.376
9/2+→9/2-	3.644	5.842

The wave-functions for 5_1^- , 5_2^- and 4_1^- can be written as $56\%\nu \mid 3p_{1/2}^{-1}2g_{9/2}$; $5_1^-\rangle + 26.3\%\pi \mid 2s_{1/2}^{-1}1h_{9/2}$; $5_1^-\rangle$, $38.7\%\nu \mid 3p_{1/2}^{-1}2g_{9/2}$; $5_2^-\rangle + 55.5\%\pi \mid 3s_{1/2}^{-1}1h_{9/2}$; $5_2^-\rangle$, and $95\%\nu \mid 3p_{1/2}^{-1}2g_{9/2}$; $4_1^-\rangle$, respectively. The transitions $5^+\rightarrow 5_{1,2}^-$ are predominated by $\nu 3p_{1/2}\rightarrow \pi 3s_{1/2}$ and $\nu 2g_{9/2}\rightarrow \pi 1h_{9/2}$, respectively; and the transition $5^+\rightarrow 4_1^-$ is predominated by $\nu 3p_{1/2}\rightarrow \pi 3s_{1/2}$. The calculated $\log f_0 t$ value for 5_1^- , 5_2^- , and 4_1^- are 6.0, 5.37, and 5.65, respectively. These results agree with the data $5.61(5_1^-)$, (5.375_2^-) , and $5.69(4_1^-)$ quiet well. The SDI interaction gives the $\log f_0 t$ values of 5_1^- 6.48, which is larger than those results of PKH and the experimental data.

3.2. $^{207}\text{Hg}(\beta^-)^{207}\text{Tl}$

The experimental [3] and calculated $\log f_0 t$ values for the decay of the ground-state of $^{207}\mathrm{Hg}(9/2^+)$ into the $11/2^-$, $9/2^-$, and $7/2^-$ states of $^{207}\mathrm{Tl}$ are listed in Tables 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The calculated ground-state wave-function of $^{207}\mathrm{Hg}$ is dominated by $|\pi 3s_{1/2}^{-2}\nu 2g_{9/2};9/2^+\rangle$ (70%),

Transitions	$fE_x(MeV)$	$\log f_0 t(\text{th})$
0+→0-	2.480	5.374
0+→0-	2.945	5.904
0+→1-	2.355	6.928
0+→1-	2.870	6 281

Table 4 The calculated $\log f_0 t$ values of the first-forbidden β decays for $^{208}{\rm Hg}$.

 $|\pi d_{3/2}^{-2} \nu 2g_{9/2}; 9/2^+\rangle$ (15%), and $|\pi 1h_{11/2}^{-2} \nu 2g_{9/2}; 9/2^+\rangle$ (5%). The last component $|\pi 1h_{11/2}^{-2} \nu 2g_{9/2}; 9/2^+\rangle$ determines the transition strength to the first $11/2^-$ state of 207 Tl, which is predominated by the $\nu 2g_{9/2} \rightarrow \pi 1h_{11/2}$ transition. This transition rate is quite small. The observed branch ratio is 2%. The calculated result of this transition with PKH is $\log f_0 t = 7.87$, which agrees with the experimental value $\log f_0 t = 8.0$ quiet well. However, the SDI interaction gives a smaller value $\log f_0 t = 7.14$ for this transition. It shows again the defect of SDI in describing the β decays of theses nuclei.

The main part of the decay of 207 Hg(98%) feeds the transitions of the ground-state of 207 Hg into 1p-1h excited states (including proton 1p-2h components and neutron $2p-1_h$ components). The calculated $\log f_0 t$ values for these transitions shown in Table 3(b), in general, agree with the experimental data very well.

3.3. $^{206}\text{Hg}(\beta^{-})^{206}\text{Tl}$ and $^{208}\text{Hg}(\beta^{-})^{208}\text{Tl}$

Both 206 Hg and 208 Hg are even-even nuclei. It is useful to compare the decays of these two nuclei. The ground state of 206 Hg mainly decays into the ground-states 0^- and the second low-lying state 1^- of 206 Tl via the first-forbidden decay of $v3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 3s_{1/2}$ or $v3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 2d_{3/2}$. The calculated $\log f_0 t$ values for these two transitions are $5.20(0^+ \rightarrow 0^-)$ and $5.09(0^+ \rightarrow 1^-)$, respectively. They agree with the experimental values of 5.42 and 5.23 very well.

²⁰⁸Hg decays into the relative higher excited 0^- , 1^- , and 2^- states of ²⁰⁸Tl, whose wave-functions have very complex structures. The calculated $\log f_0 t$ values for the decays of ²⁰⁸Hg into the 0^- and 1^- states of ²⁰⁸Tl are given in Table 4. These $\log f_0 t$ values are calculated by adopting an estimated decay energy Q-3.1 MeV [11]. The $\log f_0 t$ value of the first-forbidden decay is not sensitive to the decay energy in the ξ approximation. The ground-state wave-function of ²⁰⁸Hg is dominated by configurations $\pi 3s_{1/2}^{-2}\nu 2g_{9/2}^2$ (46.77%), $\pi 3s_{1/2}^{-2}\nu 1i_{11/2}^2$ (17.77%), and $\pi 2d_{3/2}^{-2}\nu 2g_{9/2}^2$ (10.95%). The configuration $\pi 1h_{11/2}^{-1}\nu 2g_{9/2}$ only feeds 3.6%. This small configuration gives a small transition rate of $\nu 2g_{9/2} \rightarrow \pi 1h_{11/2}$, similar to the result of ²⁰⁷Hg decaying into the $11/2^-$ state of ²⁰⁷Tl. The first 1^- state of ²⁰⁸Tl is dominated by the configuration $\pi 1h_{11/2}^{-1}\nu 2g_{9/2}$ (90%). The calculated $\log f_0 t$ value for the transitions (0* $\rightarrow 1_1^-$) is 6.93. The second 1⁻ state of ²⁰⁸Tl is dominated by the neutron 1p - 1h excited state, and the 0⁺ state of ²⁰⁸Hg decays into this state via the $\nu 3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 3s_{1/2}$ or $\nu 3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 2d_{3/2}$ process, and the calculated value of $\log f_0 t$ is 5.28, which is much smaller than the first one. The first 0⁻ state of ²⁰⁸Tl is also dominated by the neutron 1p - 1h excited state. The calculated value of $\log f_0 t$ is 5.37. The second 0⁻ state is dominated by the proton 2p - 1h state. The decay mode is $\nu 2g_{9/2} \rightarrow \pi 1h_{11/2}$, and $\log f_0 t = 5.90$.

The calculated value of $\log f_0 t$ for the decays of $^{208}{\rm Hg}$ are similar to those of $^{206}{\rm Hg}$, because both decays are mainly predominated by the $\nu 3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 3s_{1/2}$ or $\nu 3p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi 2d_{3/2}$ transitions. As mentioned above $^{206}{\rm Hg}$ decays into the ground-state or the low-lying excited states of $^{206}{\rm Tl}$, while $^{208}{\rm Hg}$ decays into high-lying 1p-1h excited states. If the provided decay energy of $^{208}{\rm Hg}$ is smaller than that of $^{206}{\rm Hg}$, one can expect that the half-life of $^{208}{\rm Hg}$ is longer than that of $^{206}{\rm Hg}$. Unfortunately, the Q value for the β decay of $^{208}{\rm Hg}$ is unknown in the experiment.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The first-forbidden β decays of ²⁰⁸Tl, ²⁰⁸Pb, and ²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸Hg are calculated. With the interaction PKH the calculated results of ²⁰⁸Tl, ²⁰⁸Pb, and ^{206,207}Hg agree with the experimental data. The shows that the PKH interaction is more suitable to describe the effects of the 1p-1h excitation. The $\log f_0t$ values for the decays of the ground-state of ²⁰⁸Hg into the 0^- and 1^- state of ²⁰⁸Tl are also calculated using an estimated Q value. If the provided β decay energy of ²⁰⁸Hg is smaller than that of ²⁰⁶Hg, the half-life of ²⁰⁸Hg would be longer than that of ²⁰⁶Hg.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhang Changhua and Gu Jinnan, *High Energy Phys. and Nucl. Phys.* (Chinese Edition), **21**(1997), p. 734.
- [2] M.J. Martin, Nucl. Data Sheets, 47(1986), p. 797.
- [3] M.J. Martin, Nucl. Data Sheets, 70(1993), p. 315.
- [4] Zhang Li et al., Nucl. Phys., A553(1993), p. 489c.
- [5] H. Sagawa and B.A. Brown, Phys. Lett., B143(1984), p. 283.
- [6] I.S. Towner and J.C. Hardy, Nucl. Phys., A179(1972), p. 489.
- [7] E.K. Warburton, Phys. Rev., C44(1991), p. 233.
- [8] E.K. Warburton, Phys. Rev., C46(1992), p. 2479.
- [9] H. Behrens and W. Buhring, *Electron Radial Wave-function and Nuclear β-Decay*, Oxford: Clarendon, 1982.
- [10] R.G. Helmer and M.A. Lee, Nucl. Data Sheets, 61(1990), p. 93.
- [11] Y. Aboussir et al., Atomic Data and Nucl. Data Tables, 61(1995), p. 127.